

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,919	04/16/2004	Alexander Deiters	54-000250US	1323
22798 7550 03402,20099 QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458			EXAMINER	
			GEBREYESUS, KAGNEW H	
ALAMEDA, CA 94501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,919	DEITERS ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS	1656
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Jonnathan Quine.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 February 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
•		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Introduction of new limitation "conservative variant" in claim 53 ar claim 63 required to incorporate sequence limitation.	nd dependent claims, Non-elected	d ssequences in claim 62 and
Claims discussed: 53, 62 and 63		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary		
/KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS/		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/826,919

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were advised to amend claim 53 either by cancelling the term "conservative variants" in the claim and dependent claims. Furthermore applicants were advised to cancell cancel claim 62 because it is drawn to non-elected subject matter. Furthermore Applicants were advised to Includ sequence limitations in claim 63. Applicants attorney agreed to discuss the above proposed amendments with client.